1 2 3 4 5 6 7	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600	N, LLP		
8	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700			
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA		
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S		
14	vs.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT		
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	OF OPPOSITION TO UBER AND OTTOMOTTO'S MOTION TO ENFORCE		
16	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	THE COURT'S JUNE 7, 2017 ORDER, STRIKE WAYMO'S SUPPLEMENTAL		
17	Defendants.	INITIAL DISCLOSURE, AND PRECLUDE DAMAGES CLAIMS AND CERTAIN		
18		WITNESSES		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

CASE No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA WAYMO'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

2 | 3 | 4 | 5

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal confidential information in portions of Exhibits in Support of Its Opposition to Uber and Ottomotto's Motion to Enforce the Court's June 7, 2017 Order, Strike Waymo's Supplemental Initial Disclosure, and Preclude Damages Claims and Certain Witnesses (collectively "Opposition"). Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the document as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed	Designating Party
	Under Seal	
Exhibit 6 to the Declaration of	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (green
Lindsay Cooper		highlighting);
		Uber ¹ (blue
		highlighting)
Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of	Green Highlighted	Waymo
Lindsay Cooper	Portions	

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.* In the context of non-dispositive motions, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamkana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)). Both the California Uniform Trade Secrets Act and the Defend Trade Secrets Act provide for maintaining the confidentiality of alleged trade secrets. Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.5 ("In an action under this title, a court shall preserve the secrecy of an alleged trade secret by reasonable means, which may include . . . sealing the records of the action[.]"); 18 U.S.C. § 1835(a) ("[T]he court shall enter such orders and take such other action as may be necessary and appropriate to preserve the confidentiality of trade secrets[.]").

¹ "Uber" means Uber Technologies, Inc., Ottomotto LLC, and Otto Trucking LLC, collectively.

II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the green highlighted portions of Exhibits 6 and 7 identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets and confidential business information. See Declaration of Lindsay Cooper ("Cooper Decl."), ¶¶ 3-4. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp*. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote, No. 14-cv-03078-JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179); see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc., No. C 10-3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets."). Confidential business information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal confidential business information and trade secret information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (see Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (see Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). See Cooper Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* ¶ 5. In fact, both *Music Grp.* and *Brocade* found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. Music Grp., 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; *Brocade*, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret and confidential business information would harm Waymo (Cooper Decl. ¶ 4), and, thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.

III. <u>UBER'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>

Waymo only seeks to seal the blue highlighted portions of Exhibit 6 as identified in the table above, because Waymo believes such information is considered confidential or non-public by Uber. Cooper Decl. ¶ 6. Waymo takes no position as to the merits of sealing any Uber designated material, and expects Uber to file a declaration in accordance with the Local Rules.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

IV. **CONCLUSION** In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under seal. DATED: July 17, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven Charles K. Verhoeven Attorneys for WAYMO LLC